

Postgraduate student
Department of Economic Theory
Faculty of Economics
Taras Shevchenko Kyiv National University
Yurii Sapachuc

Philosophy of housekeeping and postmodernism epoch

The summary

The main contradictions of the modern postindustrial society are reflected. Crisis of Postchristian world view devaluates the moral capital of a society that will inevitably result in crisis and in economic life.

Keywords: housekeeping philosophy, a postindustrial society, economics, Christianity, the moral capital.

Actual problems.

The modern market economy is very difficult and very well organized structure. The reason for it as we know from course of the economic theory, continuous development of productive forces under the influence of Scientific technical progress that involves change and complication of relations of production. If to follow for «economics», apparently, that the mankind continuously evolves, that is the modern western economic thought puts an identity sign between development of productive forces (material basis of a society) and a society as that. Speaking in other words the main criterion of development and civilization is presence and the further development of scientific and technical potential of a society, and this thesis is unconditionally perceived as an axiom. Apologists of the modern postindustrial society argue for it so-called tendencies to a socialism, humanism of the modern socioeconomic structure, meaning a significant amount of social programs, high level of the modern medicine, rapid development of sphere of services which occupies the increasing share in GDP. In other words speaking, material well-being high level as that in Japan, the USA and countries of Western Europe. Whether so it actually?

The analysis of the main researches and publications.

The direction of «housekeeping philosophy» as the alternative of economics was based by the former Marxist, and later the orthodox priest of S. Bulgakov. In the scientific operation «Economy philosophy» [1] Sergey deeply interprets negative aspects economic utilitarianism by which already then the western society has been penetrated. Presently Bulgakov's theoretical inheritance [1], [6] interpret and enrich by Yu. Osipov [2], [5], [8], V. Bazilevich [3], B. Galaher, I. Nazarov [4] and others.

Formulation of the purposes and article jobs.

If more attentively to look narrowly and analyze, it is possible to see a life pattern where it is accurately visible the present crisis of the western society and if earlier, at the first stages of development there were only its first symptoms the 20th century and, especially, the last decades all show us drastic contradictions of the modern civilization more accurately. Actually Scientific and technical progress serves as that catalytic agent of moral crisis in which there is the modern postindustrial formation. That is, there is a question, whether the society is ready to that level of technologies which it owns (in a morally-technical plane)? Or, probably, there are other factors which have taken roots in the person, its nature, world view, psychology which, sometimes, unfortunately, promptly seize the person, staticizing in it a nayward and push to use all achievements of progress to itself to the detriment?

Direct material presentation.

The mankind throughout all history is in a state of continuous wars, both in the Modern history (educational) and in Contemporary history (humane) their number hasn't decreased at all. Even on a turn, under the influence of Scientific and technical progress the wars of the 20th century have acquired mass character with awful consequences and tens millions victims, and military operations of the last years which are led by the USA and the NATO, generally for western "strategists" have character of a fascinating computer game. The Modern Europe bashfully doesn't want to remember existence of «The third Reich» of Nazi

Germany, created on the ethnic base of one of the most formed nations of the 19th and 20th centuries, successors of German classical philosophy which has imported a huge payment to the European classical culture. And still, despite all erudition, Germany, owning advanced technologies of that time and having provided a full employment with military orders for weapon manufacture, has lit a bloody fire of the Second World War, unprecedented to that scale. Besides, thanks to the advanced military technologies, its flame has burned ten millions victims. In the given context abstracting from political grounds, it is necessary to underline that fact that civilization, erudition, economic development at all aren't warranties of saving of high legal culture and morality. Except Germany epidemic of fascism of the 20th century has amazed also Italy, Spain and Portugal.

Probably it is not casual that in the 16th century Germany has given the first pulse of church reforming and has taken the plunge in clearing of the person from so-called «a church captivity». Without pressing now in nuances of divinity to us it is necessary to clarify other question: whether the person is self-sufficient, whether it, after runaway from «the church inference» of Middle Ages has lost something without what the modern history reminds nonsense, a parody and very often tragedy? This completely not empty philosophizing. Because an occasion to these speculations is morally-ethical crisis of the consumer relation of the person to life and to itself when irrepressible desire to acquisition all the new and new goods and services forces out the main semantic moral reference points on consciousness periphery. These are what are the base for normal development of the person and a society – morals, shame, fear before God. In this sense Jacques Attali's well-known citation is very indicative. In the early *nineties last century* it was the president of the World bank and he has specified that a future society this society of nomads. That is a society of people, who aren't connected among themselves by family, religious, professional communications. That is people as money, freely move there where more favourably, without having any attachments.

Institute Espina and well-known American magazine known of business circles "Fortune" have led conference in the end of July, 2003 Where have been

invited 225 businessmen, business ladies, scientists, government officials and others known people from different corners of the world. Conference has led brainstorming session on a unique problematic: The world becomes better or worse? The majority of participants have come to a dialectic output – «the World becomes both it is better, and is worse» [10, p. 66]. But nevertheless some American participants insisted that «the technology can cure the world of all illnesses» [10, p. 68]. In this context it is very important to recall Yu. M. Osipov's expression, the leader of the Moscow school of philosophy of housekeeping which the Person doesn't cease to notify about danger of overestimation by the person of the possibilities of guiding of an economy - «not only felt world center» though also isn't its creator, but has thus tried on on itself completely divine similarity - Whether God it actually, God here, on the Earth, among the nature, in the civilized supernature »? [7, p. 21]. In other words, scientific and technical break of the last decades was very strongly reflected in outlook of the person as it had been generated thought of the certain power – in accordance with Osipov, it's «demiurgical» [8, p. 29]. Unfortunately it was critically displayed on ability of the critical relation of the person to and to results of the work. And in the end result it can play a malicious joke. The bitter experience of Chernobyl shows that the faith in progress shouldn't be blind and all-consuming.

Such, more thin and multifaced approach is extremely necessary for adequate perception of the validity which surrounds us. Progress in itself at all isn't harm and doesn't carry in itself the negative charge. Because we well known about barbarous habits with human sacrifices which take place at some people that are at a primitive-communal stage of development. In other words it is necessary to select such concept, as the moral capital of a society. It is the core and the principal content of national wealth. Without it all achievements of progress and foundations of market economy of housekeeping are leveled. For example, human rights are for today a fundamental category and are accurately fixed in the United Nations, but protection of the rights of the person, and generally understanding after the relation

of depth and uniqueness of the human person, which characteristic for the European consciousness isn't a progress consequence as it seems to much.

But it is not Renaissance Revival theory have uncovered completeness of a human nature and its singularity, more likely on the contrary, they have been taken out of context Christian tradition and the further historical development has shown all presence of the Renaissance humanists. In Christian culture value of the person is indestructible and objective. One of the most important Christian doctrines – an embodiment of God, testifies about the highest value of a human nature which has been completely perceived in Jesus the Christ and included in life of Triune God. It is impossible to deny, as in the modern humanitarian thought there is an understanding of that the person permanently is before a choice between the bad and good act. But the difference between secular humanism and religious tradition concerns decisions of a question what to consider as authority on good and harm determination.

On an example, ingenious creations of the Italian artists of Renaissance, an inquisitive glance objectively and critically thinking scientist-philosopher, it is visible all depth of tragedy of isolation of the person from God. It is especially thin is shown on Michelangelo paintings in Sistine [8, p. 107]. The Christianity with its accurate ideal system of the transcendental moral values has formulated and has defined an accurate edge between good and harm. Having overcome narrowly limited to the old ethnic covenant by dialectics of development, the Christianity with its Ten Commandments has pacified the antique corrupted world, having implanted in it the deep moral base and has defined a problem of freedom of the person as one of fundamental – «Learn True and the True will make you free» (In. 8, 32) [9, p. 1140]. So, there are all bases to state what exactly Christian roots of Europe have created its moral capital which has allowed to carry out those legal frames which also partially happen from the antiquity which existence does possible normal process of housekeeping. Christian Church as the most important social institute, was rod basis of a society, implanting in it indestructible moral principles and cementing family way, has displayed in a small measure the

completeness in the form of the orthodox civilization which reflections were still saved in us. After seventy-year check, in the form of three most important church holidays which simultaneously are the state holidays.

Outputs and sentences.

Affecting intelligent economic policy which is formed on principles of philosophy of managing, it is necessary to affect the institution approach in more details. As the well-known liberal value system that are a priori perceived as due, but actually it has a vector. Analyzing all crisis phenomena and contradictions of the modern postindustrial society, it is possible to state with all scientific impartiality that simplistic utilitarian approach, technocratic approach, quantitative approach which is recommended by the modern apologists of «economics», conducts to what to another, as to a market dip in long-term perspective at deceptive short-term preferences. The Church as the major institute, with history per 2000, should become the active subject of public life and influences economic policy through intelligent philosophy of housekeeping, hindering with diffusion of moral principles which happens now. The dialectics of history accurately testifies that it is not necessary to be tempted, the postindustrial civilization won't replace an orthodox civilization – disappearance of the moral capital which inevitably happens out of a context of orthodox tradition completely levels all achievements of progress.

The list of the used sources:

1. Булгаков С.Н. Сочинения в 2-х тт. / С.Н. Булгаков. – М.: Наука. – Т.2. – 1993.
2. Осипов Ю. М. Десятый / Ю. М. Осипов // Философия хозяйства. – 2008, № 1 (55). – С. 9-10.
3. Базилевич В.Д. С.Булгаков. Розмисли. Творча спадщина у контексті ХХІ століття / За ред. В. Д. Базилевича. – К.: Знання, 2006. – 903 с.
4. Назаров И. В. Свобода против закона: цивилизация на весах Спасения. / И. В. Назаров . – Монография. – К.: Изд. ПАРАПАН. – 2008. – 224 с.
5. Осипов Ю. М. Политическая экономия / Ю. М. Осипов // Философия хозяйства. – 2003. – № 3 (27). – С. 227-228.
6. Булгаков С. Н. Основные проблемы Теории прогресса / С. Н. Булгаков // Философия хозяйства. – М. 1990. – 412 с. – С. 261-310.
7. Философия хозяйства. Альманах Центра общественных наук экономического факультета МГУ им.М.В.Ломоносова. – 2005. – №4-5. – 416 с.
8. Осипов Ю. М. Эпоха Постмодерна. В трех частях / Ю. М. Осипов. – М.; ТЕИС, 2004. – 336 с.
9. Библия. Книги священного писания Ветхого и Нового Завета. – Свято-Успенская Почаевская Лавра. – 2001. – 1346 с.
10. Fortune. – 2003. – October 27.