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WHY RESEARCH ON INFORMAL PAYMENTS?

• What are informal payments?
• Why are these payments a problem?
• Why should they be studied?
• To what extent are they studied?

• Lack of recent and comparable data on informal payments
RESEARCH QUESTION

What are the level, scope and consumer’s perceptions of informal patient payments in Central and Eastern European countries?
The most appropriate **research designs** when IPP are examined?

- The definition of “informal patient payments” is a challenging task
- Single country results,
- different units of analysis
- Variety of data collection modes, sample units and design

**Methods**

Systematic literature review:
- Published in English Empirical studies
- 31 publication is analyzed qualitatively

Face-to-face or self-administrated data collection mode; special attention to wording of the questions, recall period etc.
Do public attitude matter?

Cluster 1: Perceive both informal cash payments and in-kind gifts only as corruption.
Cluster 2: Negative towards both informal cash payments and in-kind gifts.
Cluster 3: Negative towards cash payments to physicians, medical staff or other personnel in health care facilities, but positive or indifferent towards in-kind gifts.
Cluster 4: Mostly positive towards both informal cash payments and in-kind gifts.

- Informal cash payments / gifts in-kind

What is your attitude towards informal cash payments / gifts in-kind?
- Negative; Indifferent; Positive
- Informal cash payments / gifts in-kind are similar to corruption / gratitude

Cluster 1: Perceive both informal cash payments and in-kind gifts only as corruption.
Cluster 2: Perceive both informal cash payments and in-kind gifts mostly as corruption.
Cluster 3: Perceive informal cash payments as corruption and mixed answers for in-kind gifts.
Cluster 4: Perceive both informal cash payments and in-kind gifts mostly as gratitude.

Bar Chart

Cluster 1: Perceive both informal cash payments and in-kind gifts only as corruption.
Cluster 2: Perceive both informal cash payments and in-kind gifts mostly as corruption.
Cluster 3: Perceive informal cash payments as corruption and mixed answers for in-kind gifts.
Cluster 4: Perceive both informal cash payments and in-kind gifts mostly as gratitude.

Country
- Bulgaria
- Hungary
- Lithuania
- Poland
- Romania
- Ukraine
What are the patterns of IPP in CEE?

Methods

- Face-to-face interviews
- Multistage probability sample
  - 1st wave: 1000 interviews * 6 countries
  - 2nd wave: 800 interviews * 3 countries
Informal patient payments for childbirth

- Virtually all patients “thank” medical staff
- Individual vs Emergency room patients
- Combination of informal payments with other types of patient payments
- Payments range from $300 to $700

Methods

- Qualitative ethnographic study
- 20 semi-structured interviews with consumers, providers and key informants
- Kiev, Ukraine
Discussion on policy implications

- Multidimensional policy strategies aimed to improve transparency and accountability in public service provision (to eradicate informal payments).

Precede-proceed model:

- Socio-cultural dimension (predisposing),
- Economic-labor (enabling),
- and political-regulatory dimension (reinforcing factors)

Influence not only the behavior but also the environment (the health care system) where the behavior takes place.
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