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#### Abstract

The mathematical definition of the optimal choice problem for software package for an enterprise information system is considered. The problem of the optimal software package choice is a mathematical model of combinatorial optimization on a set of combinations. The algorithm for solving this problem is presented for finding the minimum value of the target function considering additional linear constraints. This method makes it possible to significantly simplify the finding procedure of the optimal solution, since inequalities in the growth of constraints allow us to immediately determine whether a point in the set of combinations will be a support solution or not. In the positive case, the support solution is improved, taking into account the properties of many combinations when directly checking the growth of the target function. The algorithm and its realization are demonstrated by a numerical example. The proposed mathematical model and solution algorithm can be used for a similar class of problems that are modeled by optimization models, where the set of feasible solutions is presented in the many combinations form.
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## I. Introduction

The deep penetration of information and communication systems and telecommunication technologies into applied areas is a key element in an enterprise. The concept of information and communication systems includes information systems (hardware and software), telecommunication equipment (subscriber, network) and telecommunication services [1, 2].

In turn, the Information System (IS) is an interconnected set of informational, technical, software, mathematical, organizational, legal, ergonomic, linguistic, technological and other means, intended for the collection, processing, storage and issuing economic information and making managerial decisions [3]. In any information system, processes related to input, processing, storage, withdrawal, and information protection are important. Such processes are carried out using software packages.

Software is an essential component in building an information system in an enterprise. Therefore, the choice of the necessary software is one of the main tasks in the construction of an information system.

When modeling various types of processes and events in different areas, the mathematical models are often used that represent the problems of conditional optimization [4-8].

The models of such problems considered on combinatorial sets deserve special attention. These models are widely used in computer technology, mechanical engineering, logistics, in the complex development of data flow protection systems in enterprises, etc. Nowadays, a lot of scientific works are devoted to the study of combinatorial models [4, 9-12].

A universal method for solving such problems is a complete enumeration of options, which may be applicable to problems of small dimension, but does not give the desired result for large dimensions. Of course, there are other methods for solving such problems, but as a rule, each of them has its own advantages and disadvantages [13-22]. Therefore, it becomes necessary to develop new methods, both exact and approximate, that take into account the specifics of an objective function and constraints of an optimization problem on combinatorial sets.

Based on the consideration of the inequalities in a growth of constraints and objective function, considering properties of a set of combinations, the proposed method can significantly simplify a procedure for finding an optimal solution by determining in a few steps that a considered point of a set of combinations belongs to a reference solution [13].

## II. FORMAL PROBLEM STATEMENT

When building an information system in an enterprise, considerable attention is paid to the choice of software as one of the main components. As a rule, software is defined as a set of programs for implementing a goals, objectives of an information system and normal functioning of both individual and complex hardware [3]. For ease of understanding, this set of programs will be called a software package.

There are many software products on the software market, depending on specifics of an enterprise and features of an information system. But the main task is to effectively select a set of programs that would ensure necessary functioning of an information system in the enterprise, at the same time the acquisition cost should be minimal.

Considering the main tasks of building an information system in an enterprise, we assume that $n$ programs were selected to form a software package. Due to financial capabilities, an enterprise can purchase only $k$ programs from $n(k \leq n)$.

For ease of understanding, we assume that 1 is a program with the lowest rating, respectively, $n$ is a program with the highest rating. The main task is to choose a set of programs with the highest rating taking into account the conditions of an enterprise imposed on information system. This set of programs will form a necessary software package. We assume that the average prices for the corresponding programs with a certain rating are known. Then the choice should provide for the minimum cost of acquiring a software package with the highest rating.

Let $A=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ be a set of n programs whose rating, respectively, is $a_{1}=1, a_{2}=2, \ldots, a_{n}=n$. Then $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}$ are the average program prices according to the rating. Since it is necessary to form a software package by selecting k programs from $n(n \geq k)$, taking into account their rating, we can look for a solution on a combinatorial set of combinations without repetitions $C_{n}^{k}$.

As far as this package of programs is to ensure the effective operation of an information system in an enterprise as a whole, it is natural to assume that each structural unit of an enterprise has its own requirements for choosing programs. Programs may coincide or differ, which mathematically can be formulated as a system of inequalities $G x \leq(\geq) b$.

It is necessary to form a package in which programs would have the highest rating and satisfy the conditions of structural units of an enterprise. This software package should minimize acquisition costs. When detailing the choice, it is possible to perform additional calculations of the objective function in the range of maximum and minimum average prices of programs taking into account their rating.

## III. THE MATHEMATICAL MODEL

Given a set $A=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), \quad(n \in N)$. A combination without repetitions of $n$ elements by $k$ ( $n \geq k$ ) is called $k$-elemental subset $C$ of the set $A$ and is denoted by $C_{n}^{k}$. Since the order of writing elements of the set is irrelevant, therefore, as a rule, the elements in each combination are written in ascending order.

Consider a mathematical model of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\left(\Phi, C_{n}^{k}(A)\right): \min \left\{\Phi(a) \mid a \in C_{n}^{k}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where A is a subset of the combinatorial set of combinations $C_{n}^{k}$, defined by a given system of constraints.

We realize the bijective mapping of the set $C_{n}^{k}$ into space $R^{n}$ by assigning a relevant vector $x \in R^{n}$ to each element $a \in C_{n}^{k}$. The image of a set $C_{n}^{k}$ is denoted by $E_{k}^{n} \subset R^{n}$. As a result, we have the problem of combinatorial
optimization in the Euclidean formulation (the problem of Euclidean combinatorial optimization)

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z\left(F, E_{k}^{n}\right): \min \left\{F(x) \mid x \in D \subset E_{k}^{n}\right\}  \tag{2}\\
D=\left\{x \in E_{k}^{n} \subset R^{n} \mid G x \leq(\geq) b\right\}, \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $G-m \times n$ matrix , $b \in R^{m}$, while $\Phi(a)=F(x)$ for $a \in C_{n}^{k}, x \in E_{k}^{n}$.

Next, we consider linear objective functions of the form

$$
F(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j} .
$$

Additional linear constraints form a multifaceted set $D \subset R^{n}$.

## IV. Algorithm

The algorithm for solving the formulated problem consists of three steps, which ensure that the minimum of the objective function is found with additional restrictions on the set of combinations.

Step 1. Finding the first reference solution.
According to Definition 1, the elements of a set of combinations are written in ascending order, so the minimum element of a set of combinations $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)$, where $\left(x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots<x_{n-1}<x_{n}\right)$, must be taken as the starting point. Next, check the constraints (2).

If the constraints are satisfied, go to step 2. Otherwise, select the next point in ascending order and repeat step 1. Notably, the number of elements in the set of combinations is a finite set (3).

Step 2. Formation of the initial search conditions for the optimal solution.

A point of a set of combinations that satisfies all constraints (2) will be the first reference solution. For further search for the optimal solution, the initial conditions for finding the optimal solution are formed:

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=b
$$

$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\Delta g_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leq(\geq) \Delta b_{1}, \quad \Delta b_{1}=b_{1}-g_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \\ \Delta g_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leq(\geq) \Delta b_{2}, \quad \Delta b_{2}=b_{2}-g_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \\ \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots, \ldots \\ \Delta g_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leq(\geq) \Delta b_{n}, \quad \Delta b_{n}=b_{n}-g_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) .\end{array}\right.$

Step 3. Improving the first reference solution.
Consider the next point of the set of combinations in ascending order after the first reference solution. Find the growth of the constraints (4) and check their fulfillment:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta g_{i}=\Delta g_{i}^{2}-\Delta g_{i}^{1}= \\
\left(x_{i}^{g_{i}^{2}} * c_{j}+x_{j}^{g_{i}^{2}} * c_{i}\right)-\left(x_{j}^{g_{i}^{1}} * c_{j}+x_{i}^{g_{i}^{1}} * c_{i}\right) \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

If (5) is not satisfied, then the next point of the set of combinations in ascending order is considered.

Otherwase, find growth of the objective function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f=\Delta f_{2}-\Delta f_{1}=\left(x_{i}^{f_{2}} * c_{j}+x_{j}^{f_{2}} * c_{i}\right)-\left(x_{j}^{f_{1}} * c_{j}+x_{i}^{f_{1}} * c_{i}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the minimum value of the objective function must be find, a necessary condition for improving the first reference solution is to reduce the growth of the objective function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f \leq 0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (7) is satisfied, then the optimal solution is found, otherwise, the next point of the set of combinations is considered and verification (5), (7) is carried out.

## V. Example

At the software market, five types of programs were chosen to form a software package for the information system of a trade enterprise. These programs have their specific rating $\mathrm{A}=(1,2,3,4,5)$. After a comprehensive assessment of the information system, considering the financial activities of the trade company, it was found that there is a need to purchase three of the five programs. The price of each program, depending on the rating, can vary in the following range: for the program of the 1st rating from $\$$ 1000 to $\$ 2000,2$ nd rating from $\$ 2500$ to $\$ 4000$, 3rd rating from $\$ 4500$ to $\$ 6000,4$ th rating from $\$ 6500-8000 \$$, 5 th rating from \$ 8500-20000 \$.

The trade company has 4 departments that are engaged in data processing for three types of goods. Each department has formed its necessary conditions for selection of software package, which are illustrated by the following constraints:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0,9 x_{1}+0,3 x_{2}+0,2 x_{3} \geq 3, \\
0,2 x_{2}+0,3 x_{3} \geq 2, \\
0,7 x_{1}+0,3 x_{2}+0,8 x_{3} \geq 6, \\
0,4 x_{1}+0,2 x_{2}+0,3 x_{3} \geq 3 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The choice of three out of five possible programs are needed for creation a software package for the enterprise information system, considering the constraints and minimal costs for programs purchase.

The objective function will be: $\min F=c_{1} x_{1}+c_{2} x_{2}+c_{3} x_{3}$, where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ should be considering in the following range: for the program of the $1^{\text {st }}$ rating from $\$ 1000$ to $\$ 2000,2^{\text {nd }}$ rating from $\$ 2500$ to $\$ 4000,3^{\text {rd }}$ rating from $\$ 4500$ to $\$ 6000,4^{\text {th }}$ rating from $\$$ $6500-8000 \$$, $5^{\text {th }}$ rating from \$ 8500-20000 \$.

Consider the solution to this problem on a set of combinations $C_{5}^{3}(A)$, where $A=(1,2,3,4,5)$, with constraints mentioned above.

Since the programs with the highest rating should be selected, the point of the set of combinations $(3,4,5)$ need to be the first one to consider, then the value of the objective function will be in the range:
$F(3,4,5)=\{13500-18000 ; 26000-32000 ; 42500-100000\}$.
Found values of the constraints: $g_{1}=4,9>3$; $g_{2}=2,3>2 ; g_{3}=7,3>6 ; g_{4}=3,5>3$, constraints are satisfied, then the initial search conditions for the optimal solution:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta g_{1} \geq-1,9, \\
\Delta g_{2} \geq-0,3, \\
\Delta g_{3} \geq-1,3, \\
\Delta g_{4} \geq-0,5
\end{array}\right.
$$

The point $(3,4,5)$ is the first reference solution. Consider the next point of the set of combinations ( $2,4,5$ ) in descending order to improve the first reference solution. Certainly, the growth of the objective function decreases: $(2,4,5)=\{5000-13500=-8500 ; 8000-18000=$ $-10000\}$. Then we verify the fulfillment of the initial search conditions for the optimal solution:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta g_{1}=-0,9 \geq-1,9 \\
\Delta g_{2}=0 \geq-0,3 \\
\Delta g_{3}=-0,7 \geq-1,3 \\
\Delta g_{4}=-0,4 \geq-0,5
\end{array}\right.
$$

Conditions (5) and (7) of the algorithm are satisfied.
Then the new search conditions for the optimal solution:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta g_{1} \geq-1, \\
\Delta g_{2} \geq-0,3, \\
\Delta g_{3} \geq-0,6, \\
\Delta g_{4} \geq-0,1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The next point of the set of combinations $(2,3,5)$ : $\Delta F(2,3,5)=\{13500-26000=-12500 ; 18000-32000=-$ $14000\}$. Verifying the fulfillment of the initial search conditions for the optimal solution:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta g_{1}=-0,3 \geq-1, \\
\Delta g_{2}=-0,2 \geq-0,3, \\
\Delta g_{3}=-0,3 \geq-0,6, \\
\Delta g_{4}=-0,2<-0,1
\end{array}\right.
$$

The last inequality is not satisfied; therefore, the point of the set of combinations $(2,3,5)$ does not improve the previous solution.

The solution is the point $(2,4,5)$, accordingly, the minimum values of the objective function:

F $(2,4,5)=\{5000-8000 ; 26000-32000 ; 42500-$ $100000\}$.

Answer: to build an information system, the trade enterprise has to form a software package that consists of programs with 2 nd , 4th and 5th rating. The minimum cost of acquiring them will range from \$ 73500 to $\$ 140,000$.

To solve the example by the proposed method using a program in the $\mathrm{C}++$ programming language, a computational experiment was carried out, taking into account the increase in the number of sample elements $n$ of the set of combinations with a fixed element $k=3$. The results of computational experiments are presented in the table 1.

TABLE I

| $n$ | $\left\|C_{n}^{3}\right\|$ | $r$ | $S$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 10 | 3 | 4 |
| 6 | 20 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 35 | 10 | 9 |
| 8 | 56 | 23 | 15 |
| 9 | 84 | 37 | 31 |
| 10 | 120 | 51 | 47 |
| 11 | 165 | 77 | 56 |

Table 1 uses the following notation:
$n$ - the number of elements from which a set of combinations are built;
$\left|C_{n}^{3}\right|$ - the number of elements of a set of combinations;
$r$ - the number of points that are being considered in the process of finding the optimal solution;
$s$ - the number of steps to find the optimal solution. Analyzing the results of a computational experiment, it should be noted that an increase in the number of sample elements of the set of combinations $\left|C_{n}^{3}\right|$ does not lead to a rapid increase in the indicators $r$ and $S$.

In addition, it should be noted that the proposed method allows for a finite number of steps ( $S$ ) to find the minimum of the function on a set of combinations.

## VI. Conclusion

The trade company has 4 departments that are engaged in data processing for three types of goods. Each department has formed its necessary conditions for selection of software package, which are illustrated by the constraints. The article considers a mathematical model of the optimal choice of a software package for an information system in an enterprise. The optimal software selection problem is presented as a mathematical model of discrete optimization on a combinatorial set of combinations.

Also, a practical task is presented, which is formulated as a mathematical model of the optimal choice of software package for effective work of information system in an enterprise. Using the proposed algorithm, it was determined that the company has to create a software package that consists of the programs with a rating of $2 \mathrm{nd}, 4$ th , 5th , with minimal costs ranging from $\$ 73,500$ to $\$ 140,000$.

This method allows to significantly simplify the procedure for finding the optimal solution, since inequalities in the growth of constraints make it possible to immediately determine whether a point in a set of combinations will be a reference solution or not. It is not necessary to make complicated calculations of all constraints and objective function; it is enough to find the growth of constraints and function in case of improving the solution. Further researches are aimed at considering a more complex mathematical model on other combinatorial sets, considering the increase in their dimension and addition of other conditions for solving practical problems in the field of information technology.
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