

## ON DIFFERENT REPRESENTATIONS OF RISK IN BAYESIAN DECISIONS

*This paper points out a relationship between different forms of risk in statistical decision problems and different methods of obtaining a Bayesian decision function. The paper reflects and develops some ideas presented in [1].*

Let us consider the following decision-making problem under uncertainty: we want to choose an action  $a$ ,  $a \in A$ , that minimizes losses defined by the function  $L(a, \theta)$ . This function depends on the unknown parameter  $\theta$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta$  (so-called «state of nature»). Although we do not know the true value of  $\theta$ , we know probability distribution of  $\theta$ . Assume that this probability distribution is absolutely continuous and has a density denoted by  $\xi(\theta)$ . This so-called *prior density* characterizes some our beliefs about the likely values of  $\theta$ . Let  $x$ ,  $x \in S$ , denote the data obtained from observations or experiment. These observations are correlated with the unknown parameter  $\theta$  and the correlation is defined by the conditional density  $f(x|\theta)$  of  $x$  given  $\theta$ .

Let us apply Bayes theorem to the joint density  $p(x, \theta) = f(x|\theta)\xi(\theta)$  of  $x$  and  $\theta$  to obtain the conditional density of  $\theta$ , given  $x$ :

$$\xi(\theta|x) = \frac{f(x|\theta)\xi(\theta)}{\int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta')\xi(\theta')d\nu(\theta')} . \quad (1)$$

This is called the *posterior density* of  $\theta$ , given the data  $x$ . Using this posterior density, one can calculate the conditional expected losses for an action  $a$ , given observed data  $x$ :

$$\mathbf{E}_{\theta|x}L(a, \theta) = \int_{\Theta} L(a, \theta)\xi(\theta|x)d\nu(\theta) . \quad (2)$$

Because  $\mathbf{E}_{\theta|x}L(a, \theta)$  depends on the random variable  $x$ , it is also a random variable, and expected losses  $\rho(a)$  or the risk of action  $a$  can be obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(a) &= \mathbf{E}_x \mathbf{E}_{\theta|x}L(a, \theta) = \\ &= \iint_{S \times \Theta} L(a, \theta)\xi(\theta|x)f(x)d\nu(\theta)d\mu(x) , \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $f(x)$  denotes the non-conditional density of  $x$ ;  $\mu(x)$  and  $\nu(\theta)$  are some probability measures on  $\Theta$  and  $S$  respectively.

Due to the fact that  $f(x) = \int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta)\xi(\theta)d\nu(\theta)$  the equality (3) yields the *triple sum form* of the risk  $\rho(a)$ :

$$\rho(a) = \int_{\Theta} \int_S \int_{\Theta} L(a, \theta)\xi(\theta|x)f(x|\theta')\xi(\theta')d\nu(\theta)d\mu(x)d\nu(\theta'). \quad (4)$$

At the same time the above expression implies that we are choosing the action  $a$  regardless the value of the observed data  $x$ . Thus  $\rho(a)$  equals to the risk based on the prior density of parameter  $\theta$ , i. e.:

$$\rho(a) = \int_{\Theta} L(a, \theta)\xi(\theta)d\nu(\theta). \quad (5)$$

Let us estimate the lower bound of  $\rho(a)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{a \in A} \rho(a) = \\ & = \inf_{a \in A} \int_{\Theta} \int_S \int_{\Theta} L(a, \theta)\xi(\theta|x)f(x|\theta')\xi(\theta')d\nu(\theta)d\mu(x)d\nu(\theta') \\ & \geq \int_{\Theta} \xi(\theta') \left\{ \inf_{a \in A} \int_S \int_{\Theta} L(a, \theta)\xi(\theta|x)f(x|\theta')d\mu(x)d\nu(\theta) \right\} d\nu(\theta') \\ & \geq \int_S \int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta')\xi(\theta') \left\{ \inf_{a \in A} \int_{\Theta} L(a, \theta)\xi(\theta|x)d\nu(\theta) \right\} d\mu(x)d\nu(\theta'). \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

As can be seen from the latter inequality, we can reduce the risk by finding an action  $a$ , that minimizes the conditional expected losses  $\mathbf{E}_{\theta|x} L(a, \theta)$  for an action  $a$ , given  $x$ . In doing so, we will compose a mapping function  $\delta^*(\cdot)$  that associates with each observed value  $x$  an action  $a$ , minimizing the conditional expected losses (2). This function  $\delta^*(\cdot)$  is called the *Bayesian decision function*. According to Raiffa and

Schlaifer [2] such a way to obtain the Bayesian decision function is called the *extensive form of analysis*.

Now we can introduce the notion of decision rule or *decision function*  $\delta(\cdot)$  – as a mapping from the data  $x$  into the set  $A$  of possible actions. Let us denote  $\rho(\xi, \delta)$  the *risk* (expected losses) corresponding to the decision function  $\delta(\cdot)$ . This risk may be written down as follows:

$$\rho(\xi, \delta) = \int_{\Theta} \int_S L(\delta(x), \theta)p(x, \theta)d\mu(x)d\nu(\theta), \quad (7)$$

where  $p(x, \theta)$  stands for the joint density of  $x$  and  $\theta$ . Since  $p(x, \theta) = f(x|\theta)\xi(\theta)$ , we obtain the following representation for the risk:

$$\rho(\xi, \delta) = \int_S \int_{\Theta} L(\delta(x), \theta)f(x|\theta)\xi(\theta)d\mu(x)d\nu(\theta), \quad (8)$$

or, changing order of integration (throughout this paper we assume that all density functions as well as function  $L(\cdot, \cdot)$  meet the Fubini's theorem conditions and the integration order can be changed):

$$\rho(\xi, \delta) = \int_S \int_{\Theta} L(\delta(x), \theta)f(x|\theta)\xi(\theta)d\nu(\theta)d\mu(x). \quad (9)$$

This is the *double sum form* of the risk. As can be seen from the latter expression, the (Bayesian) decision function  $\delta^*(\cdot)$  that minimizes the risk (9) can be obtained by finding an action  $a$  minimizing the integral

$$\int_{\Theta} L(a, \theta)f(x|\theta)\xi(\theta)d\nu(\theta)$$

for each  $x \in S$ .

According to Raiffa and Schlaifer [2] this method of Bayesian decision function composing is called the *normal form of analysis*.

1. Ivanenko V. I., Labkovsky V. A. Uncertainty Issues in Decision Making Problems.- K.: Naukova dumka, 1990. (Russian)

2. Raiffa //., Schlaifer R. Applied Statistical Decision Theory. Division of Research Graduate School of Business Administration.- Boston: Harvard University, 1961.

Іваненко В. Л., Матичип І. І.

## ПРО РІЗНІ ПРЕДСТАВЛЕННЯ РИЗИКУ У БАЙЄСІВСЬКИХ РІШЕННЯХ

Стаття вказує на зв'язок між різними формами представлення ризику у статистичних задачах рішення та різними методами побудови байєсівської вирішуючої функції. Стаття відображає та розвиває деякі ідеї, представлені у [1].