Protein aggregates carry non-genetic memory in bacteria after stresses
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Protein aggregation is related to the formation of oligomers and aggregates, leading to impaired cellular processes. The protein aggregates formation is associated with pathologies and ageing in eukaryotes whereas in bacteria aggregation causes dramatic changes in growth rate, stress resistance and virulence, and eventually these aggregates play a functional role. Both cellular and environmental factors enhance protein damage via aggregation, nonetheless, upon sublethal doses of proteotoxic environmental stressors, protein aggregates may improve cellular robustness and carry a type of non-genetic memory of the previous stressors through several generations. Emerging data on aggregated proteins, carrying non-genetic (epigenetic) traits, show that protein-based inheritance is known within all three kingdoms of living organisms. This review focuses on the protein aggregates as carriers of non-genetic memory in bacteria.
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Introduction

Epigenetics studies on the non-genetic heritable alterations, i.e. those, which do not arise from altered DNA sequence, but from its gene expression [1]. The most common types of epigenetic modification are DNA methylation, histone modification and nucleosome positioning [2–4]; often the epigenetic changes are mediated by small non-coding RNAs [5]. Separate forms of molecular memory are not linked to the changes in chromatine and are connected with individual or collective activity of proteins such as prions [6] and chaperone molecules [7, 8]. Prions are able to self-template over long biological timescales (well reviewed in [9]). It has recently become known that prions can play a role of the molecular memory carriers in bacteria [10–12]. As the prions are heritable, the findings suggest that these proteins could allow bacteria to inherit the traits without the need for genetic mutation. In addition to the classical prion-based epigenetic memory, other types of protein-based in-
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Protein aggregates disturb proteostatic balance in cells. Proteins are central components of almost all biological processes. The transformation of a linear amino acid structure into properly composed structures is the principle of protein biogenesis. After the synthesis of a polypeptide in ribosome, the protein chain immediately undergoes folding into α-helical and β-strand secondary structure elements. To achieve their functional state, most newly synthesized proteins fold into specific three-dimensional structures and sometimes further assemble into multimeric protein complexes. Protein folding depends on a network of non-covalent interactions, involving both the polypeptide’s backbone and amino acid side chains. Although many proteins are assembled autonomously, many of them require the assistance of molecular chaperones [15].

During the folding process, the formation of misfolded protein species naturally occurs, and these failures may be enhanced by both intrinsic and extrinsic stressors. Moreover, proteins can unfold, producing disordered polypeptides that lack secondary or tertiary structure. Hydrophobic interactions between exposed residues or intermolecular β-sheet formation lead to the protein aggregation (reviewed in [15, 16]). Unreasonable unfolding of native proteins, as well as interference of un- and misfolded proteins with partially folded species, can create a functional deficit, which impairs essential cellular processes, and may lead to the cell death. Therefore, living organisms rely on protein quality control systems to prevent and reverse accumulation of un- and misfolded proteins.

Cellular strategies of protein aggregation control, such as gate-keeping residues, limiting hydrophobicity and exposure of aggregation-prone regions, reduce transcription and translation rates, protein abundance and rapid degradation of proteins [16]. In humans, more than 800 genes are involved in proteostasis control [17]. All these actions in bacteria assist to molecular chaperones and proteases that refold or degrade un- and misfolded proteins in order to maintain proteostasis [18, 19]. Chaperones are involved in the disaggregation of aggregated proteins, and their number is directly related to the quality of the disaggregation [19–21]. In particular, it is indicated for the chaperone Hsp104, which carries out the fragmentation of protein aggregates [21]. The factors that determine the frequency of fragmentation are still unclear, however, the important role of amino acids and other substances has been established: long polyglutamine stretches have been shown to be markers of poorly fragmented PA formation. In fact, 20–30 % of prokary-
otic cytoplasmic proteins pass through DnaK or GroEL chaperones during proteogenesis [15]. These mechanisms involve not only a control system of chaperones and proteases, but also genetic and evolutionary strategies to reduce aggregation, when it is inappropriate. Moreover, the aggregation-prone proteins are under strict transcriptional, translational and degradation control to ensure that the levels of these proteins are low. Intracellular misfolded protein assemblies control regulation of gene expression by small RNAs, transcription termination and plasmid DNA replication in bacteria [22]. Additionally, the aggregation-prone regions are protected from interactions within the core of the protein. Failure of these strategies can disturb the proteostatic balance and lead to the decrease of cell viability or its death.

**Fig. 1.** Protein aggregation results in the formation of amorphous aggregates (A), amyloid fibrils (B) and inclusion bodies (C). In bacteria, type of aggregates depends on a variety of stressors and it is mainly amorphous formation, however, under heterologous expression bacteria form amyloid fibrils.
The types of protein aggregates. PAs are different and divided into several classes, exhibiting different size, reversibility/dissociation, spatial structure of the molecule (conformation), covalent modification and morphology [22, 23]. Protein aggregates can be classified into two general categories: amorphous and amyloid. Amorphous protein aggregates are mostly monomers. This type of aggregation can be best described as the apparently unordered aggregation of proteins, not generally associated with disease when aggregated. The bacterial protein amorphous aggregates are caused mainly by environmental stressors. Co-aggregation of proteins results in the formation of globular amorphous aggregates through nonspecific hydrophobic interactions, and to a lesser extent through sequence-specific β-sheet interactions [19, 24].

The oligomers or so-called amyloids consist of several protein aggregates-monomers and may exist as amylloid fibril or inclusion body (Fig. 1B, C). The amyloid state is a highly structured, insoluble, fibrillar deposit with a unique quaternary structure comprised of extended β-sheets formed from intermolecular hydrogen bonding, usually consisting of many repeats of the same protein [18, 19, 22]. The constituent β-strands of the β-sheets run perpendicular to the axis of the fibril, resulting in a conformation known as the ‘cross-β-sheet’ [25]. This type of aggregation is central in the pathology of many neurodegenerative diseases including Alzheimer’s, Parkinson’s and Huntington’s diseases [13]. By contrast, protein deposition in bacteria occurs in the form of inclusion bodies regarded as amorphous aggregates [26]. Nonetheless, bacterial amyloid proteins display the physiochemical characteristics similar to other amyloids [27]. These include binding to thioflavin T and Congo Red dyes with resultant increased fluorescence emission at 485 nm and green birefringence, respectively, fibril-like morphology and a β-sheet rich structure as demonstrated by biophysical investigations [25].

In bacteria, protein aggregates have subcellular localization. Fluorescence microscopy techniques and cryo-tomography are employed to investigate PA formation and resolution in individual cells, which can provide intriguing information on the location, movement and resolution over the lifespan of individual cells [28]. Fluorescently tagged endogenous small heat shock proteins have frequently been used as aggregate reporters in E. coli, as they are highly upregulated in response to proteotoxic stress and are abundantly incorporated into aggregates [29]. It was discovered that in E. coli, PAs are formed at the poles in response to many different stresses, as well as at midcell in predivisional cells [30]. A more numerous and distributed pattern of protein aggregation localization has been demonstrated in both Mycobacteria and Caulobacter crescentus. In C. crescentus, protein aggregation also occurs in multiple punctate foci that are distributed throughout the entire cell volume in response to heat and antibiotic stress [28]. However, whereas the aggregates of Mycobacteria continue to move after formation, those of C. crescentus are mostly stationary [28]. Large E. coli PAs were observed to segregate asymmetrically and were inherited after division to one of two emerging daughter cells [29, 31] (Fig. 2). Govers et al. [29] induced PAs in E. coli by exposing the cells to heat and, using validated fluorescent
PA reporters, showed that when the given bacterial cell subsequently divided, the PAs were segregated into only one of the two daughter cells (Fig. 2A). A new pattern of protein aggregate inheritance was found in a free-living aquatic bacterium *C. crescentus* [32]. It is interesting to note that, in contrast to the deposition of PAs at the pole in *E. coli* and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* [33] and their asymmetric distribution between daughter cells, in *C. crescentus*, the bacterium with asymmetric cell division and DNA replication only once per cell cycle, the PAs, formed under the impact of either heat or antibiotics, were distributed to both daughter cell types at the same ratio over successive divisions (Fig. 2B).

**Protein aggregation is provoked by extrinsic and intrinsic stressors and results in disturbance of bacterial proteostasis.** Protein aggregation, which the cell cannot quickly eliminate with its own repairing chaperone proteins, occurs due to the disruption of protein homeostasis as a response to stressors. That is quite common for all living entities. For prokaryotes, this issue is insufficiently covered. Protein homeostasis of various model and extremophilic species of bacteria (*E. coli, C. crescentus, Clostridium botulinum, Acinetobacter baumannii etc*) is currently being actively studied [10, 26–30, 34]. The emerging data on the study of these model bacteria show that accumulation of PAs is directly related to the impact of stressors and population “ageing”. Increased protein aggregation is provoked by a heat shock, action of antibiotics (aminoglycosides) and antimicrobial peptides, depletion of the nutrient medium, oxidized stress, heavy metals, ultraviolet light, hydrostatic pressure, ionic strength, pH [24, 32, 34–36]. Below we provide few examples documenting the impact of environmental stressors on PAs formation in bacteria. High pressure (> 100 MPa) causes a significant dispersion of PAs in the cytoplasm of the cell, increasing the lethality and susceptibility of the culture to other minor stresses. In contrast, weak pressure (≤ 100 MPa) does

![Fig. 2](image_url). Different mode of Protein Aggregate (PA) location and distribution among progenitor cells during timescale. A, the PAs are located subcellularly at a pole and are distributed asymmetrically within the bacterial population. B, the PAs form distributed foci throughout the length of the cell. Aggregates are constantly distributed between old and new pole cells.
not provoke strong dispersion and lethality of the population [35, 36]. Sublethal concentrations of aminoglycoside antibiotics such as kanamycin and streptomycin cause mRNA mistranslation, resulting in the creation of folding-deficient protein species, which can induce aggregation [28].

The toxic metalloid arsenite promotes protein aggregation in yeast culture by interfering with the folding of nascent polypeptides and by chaperone inhibition [24]. Two another stressors - azetidine-2-carboxylic acid (AZC) and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) - promote misfolding and aggregation through different mechanisms, *i.e.*, AZC as proline analog, incorporates competitively into proteins and alters the conformation of the polypeptide backbone, resulting in widespread protein misfolding and aggregation; H$_2$O$_2$ gives rise to oxidative stress in cells, which may in turn damage proteins and promote their aggregation. The stress conditions described above, work by distinct mechanisms, promoting the aggregation of similar types of proteins, probably by lowering the threshold of protein aggregation. This may suggest that the proteins in aggregates are intrinsically aggregation-prone, rather than the proteins which are affected in a stress-specific manner [24].

Under a moderate stress, PAs are short-lived and rapidly eliminated by the chaperone DnaK and the disaggregase ClpB. Severe stressors or genetic perturbation of the protein quality control machinery induce the formation of long-lived aggregates[28].

Noteworthy, intracellular cues induce PAs formation in bacteria. Heterologous protein expression in bacteria can result in the formation of amyloid fibrils and inclusion bodies (see Fig. 1B,C). In heterologous overexpression used for protein production, a highly abundant protein can aggregate into globular inclusion bodies containing both amyloid and natively folded protein structures [19]. It is known for *E. coli* that even under stress-free conditions about 20% of the synthesized proteins never reach the natural conformation. Unproper protein conformations result from various errors during the gene transcription, translation and protein folding steps. Certain proteins may aggregate post-translationally due to an imbalance between its abundance and solubility [24]. Together, all these studies suggest that protein aggregation is a normal physiological process highly subjected to perturbations in cellular homeostasis which can increase the burden of protein aggregation. The establishment of non-functional protein–protein interactions has a detrimental impact on the cell fitness because these bindings sequester proteins into inactive complexes leading to aggregation or co-aggregation of proteins into toxic soluble or insoluble assemblages [37]. Moreover, the accumulation of damaged proteins is a hallmark of ageing, occurring in the organisms ranging from bacteria and yeast to mammalian cells [38].

**Protein aggregates are toxic and can damage cellular membrane.** Stressful factors affect bacterial proteogenesis directly or indirectly. Thus, numerous proteins, being aggregated into amyloids, damage bacterial membranes. As previously stated, the formation of amyloids involves misfolding of soluble proteins into $\beta$-sheet oligomers, which further aggregate into protofibrils, including ring-like annular protofibrils, and then into amyloid fibrils [39] as illustrated in Fig. 3A.
The amyloid formation affects bacterial membrane and confers on its toxicity (Fig. 3B). Soluble proteins bind to membrane surfaces predominantly to ana-helix structure. The accumulation of proteins on the membrane surface induces oligomerization of proteins into sheet aggregates. When a critical threshold concentration is reached, a transmembrane pore develops in the membrane, which enables leakage of the membrane contents. Annular protofibrils may be formed in solution by other or coexistent mechanisms, e.g., by binding to the membrane surface and inducing membrane thinning; lipids may be extracted from the membrane and incorporated into the developing fibril in a detergent-like process [39].

Notably, bacterial functional amyloids, which act as scaffold fibrils for biofilms, can damage membranes of the producing bacteria, when fibrils move outside. Normally, eukaryotic amyloid fibrils have a well-ordered structure that is not damaged while interacting with the eukaryotic cell membrane. On the contrary, prokaryotic amyloid proteins have a chaotically ordered structure, and the prokaryotic membrane undergoes significant damage, when interacts with these proteins. This proves that eukaryotic membranes are much more resistant to the interaction with amyloids than bacterial ones [40].

In the in vitro and in vivo studies on *E. coli*, the effect of synthetic protein RepA-WH1 (that causes the development of amyloid proteinopathy and inhibits the growth of bacterial culture) resulted in the pore formation, compromised membrane integrity and disrupted bioenergetics. The authors substantiated this phenomenon by the ability of RepA-WH1 to disrupt the cell membrane and form the pores in it, which violated the integrity of the cell and led to death [41, 42].

In the last two decades, functional amyloids have been found in almost all biological systems from viruses to humans and were sug-
gested as putative ancient mechanisms of protein functioning [25].

**In spite of their putative harmfulness, some amyloids fulfill essential functions in bacteria.** Multiple bacterial functional amyloids have been identified as those that can contribute to adhesion, biofilm development, genetic competence, cell density regulation, host interactions, etc. (reviewed in [25, 43]). The microbial amyloid formation is generally recognized as a biofilm-associated process. It is already known that amyloids are synthesized in the cytosol of a bacterial cell and are maintained as monomers within the periplasm until secretion, which occurs through membrane permeases [44]. Proteins that assemble into amyloid can serve as scaffolds to increase stiffness and unify the extracellular matrix and cells within biofilms. The amyloid moiety formed outside of the cells may serve as an adherence factor, contributing to the biofilm formation as it was demonstrated on *Pseudomonas* spp. [45] and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* [46]. The robust amyloid proteins are also important for the biofilm structuring in *Streptococcus mutans* [47], *Bacillus subtilis* [48] and *Staphylococcus aureus* [49].

Functional amyloids also confer adhesive properties independently of bacterial biofilms. The presence of bacterial amyloids can be advantageous for colonization, persistence and spread of bacterial pathogens, as they can serve as virulence factors. The mechanism of *M. tuberculosis* pathogenicity is the use of polymeric pili on their outer cellular surface [50]. Pili located on the surface of individual mycobacterial cell have similar morphology and functionality to the curli fibers; however, the underlying sequences of pili are distinct from both fap and curli amyloid systems [50]. Aggregation was shown to be the mechanism of pathogenicity for many bacterial toxins, e.g., aerolysin, the toxin responsible for pathogenicity of *Aeromonas hydrophila*. Aerolysin first aggregates with the erythrocyte protein glycophorin and follows an oligomerization step before being able to disrupt the erythrocyte membrane. Another bacterial toxin, parasporin, produced by *Bacillus thuringiensis*, shows a homology with aerolysin and similarly aggregates into oligomers on the plasma membrane of mammalian cells [18]. In the plant pathogenic bacterium *Xanthomonas*, harpins, *i.e.* proteins secreted by type III secretion systems, cause a hypersensitive response in plants at the conversion from a soluble state to amyloid [51]. In a recent study, an interkingdom interaction of bacterial amyloidogenic subunit of curli fibers (CsgA), produced by *E. coli*, and murine αSyn revealed the amyloid aggregation and inflammation in the murine gut and brain [52]. In another study, *in vivo* and *in vitro* experiments have evidenced that the functional microbial amyloid proteins produced in the gut may cross-seed Aβ aggregation and prime the innate immune system to have an enhanced pathogenic response to neuronal amyloids [53].

**Protein aggregates may provide a protective repository for proteins during stresses** An improper coagulation and aggregation of proteins under the impact of various stressors are usually perceived as inevitable and destructive processes associated with a disruption of the cellular proteostasis; nonetheless, at sublethal doses this process is rather useful for the adaptability [29, 34]. In bacteria, the stressors do
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not completely suppress the vital activity. It has been found that the aggregation of specific proteins under certain conditions can regulate the expression of certain genes and proper distribution of cellular resources that is one of the means of cellular self-regulation under adverse conditions [20, 22]. In particular, the researchers found that the increase in cellular PAs, which was considered harmful, helped the bacteria A. baumannii to survive desiccation. PAs might protect some of the A. baumannii proteome from damage during desiccation and provide functional proteins for recovery during rehydration [34].

Sublethal proteotoxic stressors, such as heat, peroxide and the antibiotic (e.g., streptomycin, kanamycin) cause PA formation, which plays special role in the acquisition of epigenetic memory on previous insults and helps to overcome their consequences as well as other insults in the future [29, 54].

**Protein aggregates as carriers of molecular memory in bacteria**

**Protein aggregates as molecular remnants from previous environmental insults.** Stressors trigger the formation of PAs and population heterogeneity. To cope with environmental stressors, living organisms use the single-cell and population-level reparation mechanisms. The difference in growth rate between emerging subpopulations creates the fitness variation that increases the efficiency of natural selection for eliminating the damage from the population. The persistent large PAs can be stored as the remnants of past stresses mainly at one of the poles of the bacterial cell and thus only one of daughter cells will inherit it. The asymmetric damage segregation increases the reproductive rate and survival of cellular populations under the conditions when the efficiency of repair is low compared to the rate of damage accumulation [33]. The distribution of asymmetric damaged molecules is typical for the species of bacteria that reproduce by morphologically symmetrical division. In the study by Govers et al. [29], E. coli cells with induced PAs were again exposed to the aggregate-inducing stressor after multiple generations. The cells containing inherited aggregates displayed an improved survival and faster recovery than those without the aggregates, leading to an enrichment of their progeny in the emerging population. The ancestral PAs also provided bacteria with resilience to other proteotoxic stressors, such as peroxide, which caused the formation of reactive oxygen species, and antibiotics; on the contrary, the isogenic PA-free cell lines were sensitive to those stressors. The authors found that the PA-bearing cells inherited more protective proteins against stressors and suggested this fact as an explanation of the increased cell survival. The PAs thus served as a kind of inherited memory that protects the progeny against the challenges experienced by their ancestors. It is currently hypothesized that PA-mediated memory is accomplished by a specific enrichment of protein quality control elements (such as DnaK, DnaJ, ClpB, IbpA, and ClpP) due to co-localization and co-inheritance with the PAs [29]. This enrichment might in turn be responsible for the increased robustness of PA-bearing cells toward proteotoxic stressors. This new paradigm proposed by Govers et al. [29] suggests that other types of cytoplasmically inheritable damaged but functional biomolecules could also serve for improvement of the cellular robustness within
In the mentioned above study [34], PAs were shown to protect *A. baumannii* from the desiccation collapse by preserving the functional proteins within aggregates.

**Inheritable prion-like proteins in bacteria play a functional role and can be horizontally transmitted.** Recently, in bacterial proteomes, more than 2000 potential bacterial prions with different functional roles have been discovered using the prion prediction algorithm [55, 56]. For example, the bacteriotoxin microcin E492, an antibiotic produced by *Klebsiella pneumoniae* only during the exponential phase of growth, acquires a prion-like form and therefore loses the activity to kill competitors in the stationary phase due to a conversion of the soluble protein into insoluble fibrils [57]. In two other bacterial proteins, Rho and Rep A, [the] domains responsible for the prion-like phenotype have been proven [10, 42]. In particular, it was found that transcription terminator Rho of *C. botulinum* (CbRho) can transform into a prion due to the presence of the prion-forming domain, which is responsible for the acquired amyloidogenicity. The soluble form of this protein efficiently terminates transcription, whereas in the self-propagating aggregated prion form it was functionally compromised [10]. The CbRho is the determinant of an epigenetically transmissible phenotype, structurally and functionally analogous to yeast prions [58] and can functionally replace Sup35 prionogenic sequences in a stop-codon read-through translation assay in yeast. The Rho prion-like domain family is one of three most widely distributed prion-like protein domain families [12]. The RepA is another bacterial protein that builds intracellular amyloid oligomers acting as an inhibitory complex of plasmid DNA replication. A mutation in the N-terminal domain (WH1) of this protein, enhancing its amyloidogenesis, generates inheritable cytosolic amyloid particles. With this mutation, RepA-WH1 becomes cytotoxic in *E. coli* and meets all the criteria to qualify it as a prion-like protein [20]. Protein aggregates are cell-to-cell transmissible through either tunneling nanotubes or extracellular vesicles [20, 59]. Horizontal transmissibility of RepA-WH1 from the bacteria to the murine and human neuroblastoma cell lines has been demonstrated, as well as the formation of cytotoxic amyloid particles in the recipient cells [20].

**Conclusion**

Due to phenotypic heterogeneity endowed after an impact of cellular or environmental stressors, certain subpopulations of bacteria acquire an epigenetic memory of the stresses due to the formation of inheritable protein aggregates. Intracellular PAs essentially lack a self-proliferative effect, however, remain inheritable for many generations due to the asymmetric segregation and limited disaggregation that prevents their dilution. Such change in protein homeostasis helps to overcome more quickly similar stresses encountered in future in many subsequent generations of bacterial cultures. Epigenetic memory is formed and maintained even after the processes of protein disaggregation. Inherited PAs increase the resistance of bacteria to a wide range of other emerging proteotoxic stressors. Prokaryotic PA-mediated memory seems to comprise a completely novel type of protein-based inheritance, and this phenomenon requires further study.
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Білкові агрегати як носії негенетичної пам’яті у бактерії після стресу
О. Є. Кухаренко, В. О. Терзова, Г. В. Зубова

Агрегування білків пов’язане з утворенням олігомерів і комплексів, що призводить до порушень клітинних процесів. Утворення білкових агрегатів асоціюється з патологіями та старінням у еукаріотів, тоді як у бактерій цей процес викликає різкі зміни швидкості росту, стійкості до стресу і вірулентності. Проте, за певних обставин ці агрегати відіграють функціональну роль. Фактори навколишнього середовища та внутрішньоклітинні фактори посилюють пошкодження білка за рахунок його скупчення, тим не менш, за сублетальних доз протеотоксичних стресових факторів навколишнього середовища білкові агрегати можуть покращувати стійкість клітин та зберігати механізми епігенетичної пам’яті. Наведені дані про агреговані білки, що мають ознаки негенетичного (епігенетичного) спадкування, показують, що успадкування на основі білка відомо в усіх трьох царствах живих організмів. У цьому огляді увагу акцентовано на білкових агрегатах як носіях негенетичної пам’яті бактерій.

**Ключові слова:** білкові агрегати, бактерії, стрес, епігенетичне спадкування.

Белковые агрегаты как носители негенетической памяти у бактерий после стресса
О. Е. Кухаренко, В. А. Терзова, А. В. Зубова

Агрегация белків связана с образованием олигомеров и агрегатов, что приводит к нарушениям клеточных процессов. Образование белковых агрегатов связано с...
патологиями и старением у эукариот, тогда как у бактерий агрегация вызывает резкие изменения скорости роста, устойчивости к стрессу и вирулентности, но в определенных обстоятельствах эти агрегаты играют функциональную роль. Факторы окружающей среды и внутриклеточные факторы усиливают повреждение белка за счет агрегации, тем не менее, при сублетальных дозах протеотоксических стрессовых факторов окружающей среды белковые агрегаты могут улучшать устойчивость клеток и сохранять механизмы негенетической памяти предыдущих стрессорах в течение нескольких поколений. Приведенные в обзоре данные показывают, что агрегированные белки обладают признаками негенетического наследования, и это явление распространено во всех трех царствах живых организмов. В этом обзоре основное внимание уделяется белковым агрегатам как носителям негенетической памяти у бактерий.

**Ключевые слова:** белковые агрегаты, бактерии, стресс, эпигенетическое наследование
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